

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ess:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/887,083	09/887,083 06/25/2001		Hisaki Miyamoto	P20983	8590		
7055	7590	05/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ERNSTEIN, P.L.C	MAKI, STEVEN D				
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1733			

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/887,083	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven D. Maki	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 4-28-04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of All Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of the er: (1) a timely filed amendm ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	ed
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing day	<u>-</u>		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	ter than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.	no
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding amo tened statutory period for reply origina	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee unally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding nun	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: see advisory action attachment.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendm	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place t	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claim			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6-8 and 14-17.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1733

## **Advisory Action Attachment**

The new issues and issues of new matter are (1) changing "a high pressure air source that supplies air pressure to said contact pins" (claim 1) to --an air source that supplies air pressure to extend said contact pins-- and (2) changing "supplying high pressure air to said contact pins" (claim 14) to --supplying air to extend said contact pins--.

## Remarks

Applicant argues that the 35 USC 112 first paragraph has been overcome in that the claims comply with the written description requirement. The 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejection stands because the original disclosure fails to reasonably convey supplying high pressure air to the pins <u>instead of</u> cylinder 40. The original disclosure describes sending the air to the air cylinder 40. No other location such as an inflatable contact pin is described.

The 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection stands for the reasons given in the last office action. It remains unclear if claims 1 and 14 require direct contact of air and pins or something else (e.g. an air cylinder) and, as such, the location to which the high pressure air is supplied remains unclear.

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to modify Europe '178 to have jigs 6, 8 and shafts 18, 20 of Japan '234 and that the glass plate 11 of Europe '178 might be damaged or destroyed due to pressure applied by the upper jig. These arguments are irrelevant since claims 1 and 14 fail to require jigs.

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant argues that there is a lack of motivation to modify the combination of references in the manner set forth in the office action. The examiner disagrees since (1) Japan '234 motivates one of ordinary skill in the art to use a centerer having **radially movable pins** in Europe '178's process of making a laminated optical disc to <u>so that the substrates of the laminated optical disc are aligned with high accuracy</u> and (2) Japan '137 suggests <u>moving</u> **radially movable pins** of a centerer <u>using an air cylinder</u>.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven D. Maki May 4, 2004

STEVEN D. MAKI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

AU 1733